Recent Progress in Sciences

Science Publication Inc.

Editorial Open Access

Engineering for humanity: Circular economy and sustainability as pillars of a transformative future

Oscar Jaime Restrepo Baena *

School of Mines. Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia

* Correspondence: Oscar Jaime Restrepo Baena. email: ojrestre@unal.edu.co

Received: May 06, 2025; Accepted: May 07, 2025; Published: May 09, 2025

In the face of escalating environmental, social, and economic challenges, the role of engineering must transcend the mere delivery of technical solutions. It must evolve into a discipline that not only responds to global crises but anticipates them, reshaping societies through inclusive, resilient, and ethical practices. At the heart of this transformation lies the intersection between circular economy principles, sustainability, and what I call humanitarian engineering—a form of engineering deeply rooted in empathy, equity, and engagement with communities.

The traditional "take-make-dispose" economic model that has dominated industrial development for over a century is no longer viable. Natural resource depletion, climate change, and waste pollution are urgent symptoms of a linear system that has reached its ecological and moral limits. In contrast, the circular economy offers a regenerative approach that prioritizes the reuse, repair, remanufacturing, and recycling of materials. It is not merely a model of waste reduction but a comprehensive framework for redesigning entire value chains to operate within the planet's boundaries.

Engineering education and practice are uniquely positioned to catalyze this shift. Engineers possess the tools and systems thinking needed to redesign infrastructure, processes, and materials from the ground up. However, the success of this transition depends not only on innovation but also on our ability to align technologies with the needs and aspirations of diverse communities—particularly those historically marginalized or vulnerable.

This is where the concept of humanitarian engineering becomes indispensable. It is a discipline that places people and their well-being at the center of engineering processes. It acknowledges that sustainable development is not a purely technical challenge but a social one—requiring dialogue, co-creation, and mutual learning. In the Global South, for example, engineering solutions must be sensitive to local knowledge, cultural contexts, and socioeconomic constraints. Circularity, in this sense, becomes not only a strategy for environmental stewardship but also a pathway for empowerment, equity, and resilience.

In my academic career, particularly in Latin America, I have witnessed how circular practices—often rooted in ancestral wisdom—can inspire scalable models for sustainable development. From small-scale mining cooperatives adopting cleaner technologies to communities transforming waste into value-added products, these grassroots innovations illustrate that the circular economy is not exclusive to high-income economies or advanced technologies. Rather, it thrives when local actors are engaged as co-creators, and when knowledge flows bidirectionally—between academia and the field, between global frameworks and local realities.

Engineering for sustainability, then, must embrace interdisciplinarity. It must be informed by economics, social sciences, and policy studies, just as much as by thermodynamics, materials science, or computational modeling. Universities and research institutions must foster educational programs that prepare the next generation of engineers to think in systems, to communicate across cultures, and to evaluate the long-term social and ecological implications of their work. Partnerships with industry, government, and civil society must be encouraged not only to develop technologies but also to create enabling environments for sustainable transformation.

Moreover, the post-pandemic world has reaffirmed the importance of resilience, local autonomy, and digital inclusion. These factors are tightly linked to circularity and humanitarian engineering. For example, digital platforms can facilitate product lifecycle tracking and material recovery systems, while also supporting education and participation in underserved areas. Similarly, decentralized energy systems—such as solar microgrids—embody both circular and humanitarian values by reducing dependence on extractive energy models and empowering remote communities.

Importantly, the principles of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a useful compass for aligning engineering practice with global priorities. But achieving these goals will require more

than good intentions; it will demand a fundamental reimagining of how engineers are trained, how projects are evaluated, and how success is defined. Profit and efficiency, though important, must be balanced with justice, inclusivity, and long-term ecological health.

In conclusion, we are living in a decisive decade—one in which engineers have the opportunity and responsibility to redefine their social contract with humanity. By embracing the tenets of circular economy, sustainability, and humanitarian engineering, we can help build a future where technology serves people and the planet—not the other way around. The time has come for engineers not only to ask how we build, but why, for whom, and with what consequences.

Let this be a call to action for all members of the scientific and engineering community to place their knowledge at the service of a more regenerative, just, and humane world.